	Case 2:06-cv-00697-RSL Docume	nt 115	Filed 11/16/07	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6 7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE			
8				
9	MIKE KREIDLER,			
10	Plaintiff,	C	ase No. C06-069	97RSL
11	v. DANNY L. PIXLER, <i>et al.</i> ,		RDER REGARI EE AWARD	DING
12	DAINN I L. FIZLER, et at., Defendants.	L1	EE AWARD	
13	Defendants.			
14		l		
15	On October 17, 2007, the Court granted in part and denied in part plaintiff's			
16	motion to compel defendants to produce various financial, corporate, and accounting			
17	documents from the corporate and the individual defendants. The Court required plaintiff			
18	to file a statement of his reasonable fees and costs incurred in filing the motion and			
19	awarded plaintiff half of those fees. Plaintiff's counsel subsequently filed the statement.			
20	Although the Court invited defendants to file a response, they did not do so.			
21	In Washington, the "lodestar" method is the starting point for fee calculations.			
22	The lodestar fee is determined by multiplying the hours reasonably expended in the			
23	litigation by each lawyer's reasonable hourly rate of compensation. Bowers v.			
24	<u>Transamerica Tile Ins. Co.</u> , 100 Wn.2d 581, 597 (1983). The burden of demonstrating			
25				
26	ORDER REGARDING FEE AWARD - 1			

that a fee is reasonable is on the fee applicant, who must provide documentation sufficient "to inform the court, in addition to the number of hours worked, of the type of 3 work performed and the category of attorney who performed the work." Scott Fetzer Co. 4 v. Weeks, 122 Wn.2d 141, 149, 151 (1993) (internal citation and quotation omitted). 5 After reviewing the statement and supporting documents, the Court finds that 6 counsel's hourly rates are reasonable. Furthermore, the total number of hours expended, 7 while high, is not unreasonable given defendants' opposition and the complicated nature of the legal and factual issues. However, plaintiff is not entitled to recover the fees expended prior to and through the Rule 37 conference. Therefore, the Court will disallow fees for the August 13-15 work. After subtracting those amounts, the total 10 11 amount of allowable fees is \$10,377. The Court previously awarded plaintiff half of the amount of fees expended. Accordingly, plaintiff is entitled to recover its reasonable attorney's fees in the amount of \$5,188.50. Defendants are jointly and severally liable 13 for paying the fees, and must do so within thirty days of the date of this order. 15 16 DATED this 16th day of November, 2007. 17 18 MWS Casnik 19 20 United States District Judge 21 22 23 24 25

ORDER REGARDING FEE AWARD - 2

26